

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignina 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/018,797 04/18/2002 Eros Pedroni 635.40829 X00 9253 20457 7590 08/18/2003 ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER						
20457 7590 08/18/2003 ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
ANTONELLI, TERRY, STOUT & KRAUS, LLP 1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889 EXAMINER GILBERT, SAMUEL G	10/018,797	04/18/2002	Eros Pedroni	635.40829 X00	635.40829 X00 9253	
1300 NORTH SEVENTEENTH STREET SUITE 1800 ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889 GILBERT, SAMUEL G	20457 75	90 08/18/2003				
SUITE 1800 GILBERT, SAMUEL G ARLINGTON, VA 22209-9889				EXAMINER		
	SUITE 1800		21	GILBERT, S.	GILBERT, SAMUEL G	
	ARLINGTON,	VA 22209-9889		ARTIINIT	DARED NUMBER	
				3736 DATE MAILED: 08/18/2003	10	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Commence	10/018,797	PEDRONI, EROS			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Samuel G Gilbert	3736			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cov r sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26.	June 2003 .				
	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows					
closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-28</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>23 and 24</u> is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-22 and 25-28</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/018,797

Art Unit: 3736

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-22 and 25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cole et al(4,870,287) in view of Nonaka et al(6,094,760) and Blosser et al. (4,507,616).

Cole et al teaches a proton beam therapy system having the proton beam guiding structure as claimed and a rotatable patient gantry, however the details of the patient gantry have not been set forth. Nonaka et al teaches a bed system for proton therapy which sets forth the particulars of the patient table as claimed. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the bed system as taught by Nonaka et al with the therapy device set forth by Cole et al to provide the advantage of placing the patient in more positions to provide therapy thereby protecting healthy tissue while focusing the beam on the desired tissue, as is taught by Nonaka et al. Blosser et al. teaches a beam source which is rotatable through only 180 degrees.

Coles also set forth a plurality of treatment stations where the beams are in a fixed position. Nonaka et al teaches using a rotatable beam source. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use

Application/Control Number: 10/018,797

Art Unit: 3736

Application/Control Number: 10/010,73

a rotatable beam guides as taught by Nonaka et al in place of the fixed station of Cole et al. to allow for space savings and to allow one station to be used for all therapy.

Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to limit the rotation or the source as taught by Blosser to reduce the amount of room needed for the device.

Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's argument that the references requires the use of a "caterpillar like floor access" which is not required by the applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., specific type of floor) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See *In re Van Geuns*, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 23 and 24 are allowed.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/018,797

Art Unit: 3736

§ 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37

CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Samuel G Gilbert whose telephone number is 703-308-3553. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Max. Hindenburg can be reached on 703-308-3130. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-0758 for regular communications and 703-308-0758 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Samuel G Gilbert Primary Examiner Art Unit 3736

sgg

August 13, 2003